

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,186	10/09/2001	Gregory Jantsch	13543-003001	4489
26161 75	590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			KIM, AHSHIK	
225 FRANKLIN ST			ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	;)
Office Action Summary		09/973,186	JANTSCH,	GREGORY
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ahshik Kim	2876	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ion appears on the cover	sheet with the corresponder	ce address
A SHOP THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) darented for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe tition. ys, a reply within the statutory min y period will apply and will expire to statute, cause the application to	over, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).
Status				
2a)⊟ Ti 3)⊟ Si	tesponsive to communication(s) filed on this action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ince this application is in condition for a cosed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final This action is non-final This action is non-final This action is not the third t	nal matters, prosecution as	
Disposition	n of Claims	•		
4a 5)	laim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the appli a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from considera		
Application	ı Papers			
10)⊠ Th Ar Re	the specification is objected to by the Extended description of the extended and the extend	is/are: a) accepted of to the drawing(s) be held if correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 drawing(s) is objected to. See	i(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)□ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docu Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Est the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been recei uments have been recei e priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	ved. ved in Application No. ve been received in this Nati a)).	<del>-</del>
Attachment(s)		_		
2) 🔲 Notice of 3) 🔯 Informati	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ o(s)/Mail Date	48) SB/08) 5) 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	n (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

20

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. This application is filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required in response to this Office Action or when the application is allowed

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 15, line 1: substitute "claim 6" with --claim 8--.

Claim 6 which depends on claim 1 recites a method claim, and claim 8 is an apparatus claim. It appears that claim 15 should have depended on claim 8.

Appropriate correction/clarification is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-31, and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graef et al. (US 4,664,369, hereinafter "Graef", cited by Applicant).

Page 3

5

10

15

20

Re claims 1-4, 8-12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, 31, and 33-38, Graef teaches a thickness indicator apparatus used in Automated Teller Machine (ATM) (see abstract; col. 2, lines 27+) for detecting double bills when the bills are retrieved from the stack (col. 1, lines 34+; col. 3, lines 58+; col. 6, lines 4+). The thickness detector is comprised of two elongated fingers 50 (or free ends) attached to a wishbone 45 (col. 4, lines 16+; see figure 3). When bills move between the plate 42 and the elongated fingers 50, the elongated fingers are displaced/pushed by the thickness of the bill (col. 4, line 51 – col. 5, line 37). Re claim 5, the bill moving path further comprised of a roller 12 and a counter rotating roller 36 (col. 3, lines 36+; col. 3, lines 60+).

Re claim 6, the thickness of the bill causes wishbone 45 to rotate about the pin 58 in counter clockwise direction (col. 5, lines 9+). Accordingly, the pin is considered a rotational axis.

Re claims 7, 13, 15, and 16 as bills pass between the plate 40 and elongated finger, the target 52 rotates toward the proximity sensor 66 (col. 4, lines 39+), and the sensor generates a voltage signal proportional to the distance between the sensor 66 and the target 52 in inductive manner.

Re claim 14, as shown in figure 1, the elongated finger 50 is connected to a spring-loaded locking means 64 (col. 4, lines 28-38).

Re claims 19 and 26-29, as shown in figure 3, the apparatus is comprised of a housing/frame 15 which houses a bill thickness detecting component (see figure 3; col. 3, lines 36+).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

5

15

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 22, 25, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

10 Graef et al. (US 4,664,369).

Although Graef does not explicitly suggests the details of the housing as they are recited in the above-mentioned claims (snap-in bearings and grounding elements comprising braided wire and metal lugs), it is the Examiner's view that Graef shows a box-type of housing which is substantially the same housing Applicant claims. Perhaps the component parts for building such housing may be different, but it is the Examiner's view that more substantial elements — thickness (or double bills) detection utilizing elongated fingers are disclosed by Graef. The differences in constructing housing may not patentable unless Applicant particularly points out patentablities of the housing.

20 Conclusion

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Graef et al. (US 4,494,747); Swartzendruber (US 4,159,782) discloses a currency handling apparatus. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 October 12, 2004 Page 5

25

5

10

15

20